



Home Introduction

By
Sean F. Meers

(First Published: February 14, 2012)

Introduction

This website has been built primarily as a consolidation of publicly released information and evidence about the Linda Cortile UFO abduction case. It has also been built to factually demonstrate the inaccurate and libellous nature of the multitude of attacks and allegations levelled against it over the years by a handful of subjective critics.

While Linda's case has been publicly defended in the past, the defenses, for the most part, have only been done in a very general way. The reason for this was because of the sheer enormity of erroneous claims that were contrived, constructed and distributed attacking the case, over fifty-five pages worth. The fact that each of the bogus claims were not individually addressed, rebutted and factually dismantled in detail, was perceived as proof, by some, that the false claims must be true. For others who applied a modicum of time, energy and resources into investigating the case for themselves, as well as the foundations of the allegations made against it, they arrived at completely different conclusions.

As of the launch of this website the fifty-five plus pages of vacuous, demonstrably false attacks made against the Linda Cortile UFO abduction case have been individually addressed and refuted. While it has taken years of time and vast amounts of energy to collate and document the facts in this matter, the results speak for themselves and everything that has been put into this task has been worth it.

There are many factors that deeply detriment legitimate UFO and alien abduction research.

Historically, all that it has taken for a UFO or alien abduction case to be seriously considered a hoax, by some, is for someone to simply allege the case was a hoax. All that it has taken for an allegation to be cemented as a fact, for some, is for it to be spread and repeated. Allegations alone have been accepted and publicly conveyed by news representatives as resolutions to some UFO and alien abduction cases.

News coverage of UFO and alien abduction cases tends to be five-pronged in its approach. Firstly, most of the cases presented, whether they be prosaic, unknown or crackpot in origin, are amalgamated with fictional examples of UFOs and aliens from film and television. Second, the highest levels of news coverage are given to cases that are provably prosaic or fraudulent in origin, solvable or fake. Third, when legitimate cases are presented they are condensed, a process which inevitably results in the omission of important facts and aspects of the case. Fourth, testimony from individuals involved in the cases that is supported by independent, corroborating evidence is often presented without any kind of reference to that evidence. Fifth, legitimate, heavily evidenced cases are presented with flippancy and without any specific reference to the evidence that supports them. The purpose behind each of these strategies is self-evident. The first is done to create the false impression that all UFO and alien abduction cases are byproducts of media saturation. The second is done to create the false impression that all UFO and alien abduction cases are either fake or can be explained conventionally. The third is done to make a consistent case appear inconsistent. The fourth is done to create the false impression that the witness testimony in these cases is founded solely on one's personal beliefs. The fifth is done to create the false impression that UFOs and alien abductions

are not a subject of any consequence to anyone.

Another major problem affecting legitimate UFO and alien abduction research is that the bodies of these two fields are figuratively diseased. While there are limbs of these two bodies comprised of honest, professional research achieved through personal sacrifice and hard work, there are also limbs infected with self-promotion, lies-for-cash schemes, product marketing and the furtherance of personal agendas. In some cases individuals who were once part of a healthy limb, voluntarily became part of an infected one. Legitimate researchers and experiencers whose motivations changed for the worse, individuals who at one point could be trusted, could no longer be trusted at a later point. News coverage of UFO and alien abduction research is heavily populated with individuals from the infected limbs. While this is not always the case, the effect of giving heavy news coverage to dishonest individuals and minimal news coverage to professionals results in people not giving credence to those who have earned it.

The inability to distinguish between the infected and healthy limbs of these two research fields is a problem that primarily affects those new to the fields and those who don't know much about the fields. That being said even experience doesn't grant one immunity to being deceived.

The problems associated with these fields are mentioned here to show some of the obstacles one can expect to face when they experience or research legitimate UFO and alien abduction related phenomena. Who can one turn to? Who can one trust?

In the Linda Cortile UFO abduction case multiple attempts have been made to combine truth with lies to obfuscate any determinations of validity or invalidity. Such attempts have been unsuccessful and any future attempts will be unsuccessful.

--Sean F. Meers
February 14, 2012

Copyright

Sean F. Meers claims copyright ownership of all information stored in this document, unless expressly stated otherwise. No information stored in this document may be used for commercial or other purposes (except as legally allowed for personal and educational use) unless Sean F. Meers gives his prior written consent to the intended use.